

Hello Gary

Thank you for your email

I can confirm that the following

1 Customers entering the building were not asked to give their personal details. If you look at the number of customers in the venue on each of the nights on the CCTV, there will not be the number of people recorded as entering the building. The doorman did not enforce this as the CCTV will show.

2 No hand sanitising stations around the premises.

3 No customer separation whilst in there. People were walking around the premises inside and outside on all three nights with no management control relating to social distancing.

If the CCTV is provided watch how customers are allowed to walk around the venue unchallenged like pre Covid 19. I can guarantee the CCTV will not be available if you request it or they will not provide it.

Also notice DB running the pub and PB walking around the pub as a "customer". He has been sacked after all.....

Thanks

XXXXX

On Mon, 20 Jul 2020, 11:12 Hennighan, Gary, wrote:

Hi XXXX,

Many thanks for your e-mail. Much appreciated.

Before I request CCTV, please could you clarify what you saw with regards to the lack of adherence to government guidelines. This is obviously a subjective subject so some clarity as to how you perceived the operation is being run would be appreciated.

Kind regards

Gary

From: xxxxxxx **Sent:** 19 July 2020 09:05 **To:** xxxxx **Subject:** Duke of Wellington - None compliance to social distancing

Dear all

I wish to report the above venue for none compliance to Government guidelines when it comes to social distancing within pubs on the following dates which can be confirmed via the internal CCTV system.

10th July between 22.30 and 00.45
11th July between 23.00 and 01.00
17th July between 23.14 and 00.30

There were no controls in place when it comes to public safety given the current pandemic. This will clearly be seen by reviewing the CCTV between these hours. Apparently they will refuse the Council CCTV access if they ask.

I understand you have already started a review application which hopefully the above CCTV will help you in some way. Other information that may help you is as follows

1) ST has now "sacked" PB as general manager and placed DB in charge as general manager. This is confirmed by DB Facebook page.

2) PB is remaining on site each night to "over see" things but should the authorities attend he will vanish into the background as a customer.

This is one of the defences they intend to use at the hearing to show management has changed. PB and ST have also brought into the fold as a driver xxxxxxx.

He is driving between Darwen and Great Harwood daily in the following vehicle for ST
xxxxxxx

(Note XXXX only holds a provisional licence - he was previously disqualified for drink driving)

The vehicle is insured by PB for that reason but is registered in xxxxx name.

Thanks

xxxxxx

Dear all

Information for your urgent review and action if necessary. Previous information I have passed on to yourselves has been correct as you are well aware.

PB & DB (formally of the Walmesley Arms, Great Harwood) are in the process of sub letting the lease for the Duke of Wellington, Great Harwood from NB who is currently in prison. The business lease has remained in NB's name since he was sent to prison. It was previously being run by NB's associates ST and JF on his behalf.

The business plan is that PB and DB will take over the day to day running of the pub and all profits will be split between them all on a weekly basis. NB will have direct involvement with the running of the premises whilst in Prison. Their plan is to open mid October after a mini refurbishment.

PB is applying for his CRB check now and will then be submitting his licence application to the Police/Council. If this licence application is rejected by either the Council or the Police then the pub will be put in PB's best friends name called SP who will be living in the actual premises.

PB is currently working down at scrap yard in Great Harwood as a skip wagon driver. The money for the refurbishment is coming from the Travellers who are currently running the yard in way of a loan

but they will have no running of the actual business and are to be paid back by the way of weekly instalment.

If we hear anything else we will be sure to pass the information on. We all know how the Bs like to run a pub and it still upsets us that our local (Walmesley) has been wrecked inside by him upon leaving and is no umopenable by the brewery.

Regards

XXXXX